



UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trade ark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	_		۰
_	_		
		•	
			`
,	HL		
•	uı		
	4711		,
	41 C	_	
١.			
١.			
•		- 2	,
`		_	

			TATES OF			/	•
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAME	ED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.	\geq
09/069,	703 04/2	9/98	TAPOLSKY		G	VIRO: 034 EXAMINER	
MORRISO 755 PAG PALO AL	E. CIOTŤI N & FOERST E MILL ROA TO CA 9430	D 4-1018	HM12/1	013	LATE MAILED	.7	_
This is a communication fr COMMISSIONER OF PAT	om the examiner in ENTS AND TRADE	charge of you MARKS	r application.				
		OFF	CE ACTION S	SUMMARY			
Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on		-	3/22/99			
This action is FINAL.	(- /			//-/			_
Since this application is accordance with the practice. A shortened statutory period whichever is longer, from the the application to become all 1.136(a).	d for response to the mailing date of the	rte Quayle, his action is nis communi	set to expire	3 O.G. 213.	month(s), or	thirty days,	•
Disposition of Claims						•	
Claim(s) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)						ding in the application. vn from consideration. _is/are allowed. _is/are rejected. s/are objected to. or election requirement.	
Application Papers				are subje	set to restriction o	i election requirement.	
See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing c The specification is object The oath or declaration is	orrection, filed on	miner.		_is/are objected to I	by the Examiner. _is	d 🗌 disapproved.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
Acknowledgment is mad All Some* in received. received in Applicati received in this nation	None of the CE	RTIFIED ∞ de/Serial Nu	pies of the priorit	y documents have b			
*Certified copies not receiv				eau (FC) Rule 17.2	2(a)).		
_						 •	•
Acknowledgment is made Attachment(s)	o or a Gallit TOF do	nesuc pnom	ty under 35 U.S.(ن. § 119(e).			
_	J DTO 222						
Notice of Reference Cited		1440 Daar	· Na(a)				
Information Disclosure SI Interview Summary, PTO		1449, Paper	' NO(s)				
Notice of Draftperson's P		iou PTO o	40 `				
Notice of Informal Patent			70				
	,						

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Art Unit: 1617

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. ¢laims 1-18, 33, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 472.

Claims 19-32, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 514, subclass 947.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as an unlayered vehicle.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicants elect Group I, the following election of species is required:

Claim 3 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising first

layer from forming polymers and bioadhesing polymers. Applicant is required under 35

U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claim 4 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising second layer polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1617

the requirement for claim 3 and/or claim 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 10, 13 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising erodibility polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Should applicants elect Group II, the following election of species is required:

Claims 22, 28 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising first layer film forming polymers and bioadhesive polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 1617

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claim 29 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising second layer polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A phone restriction was not attempting in view of the complexity of the requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/069,703

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald E. Adams, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

E.Webman:BL

10/06/99

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500